We cannot <u>change</u> behavior, we can only modify behavior, and for cybersecurity experts this is critical, and it starts with naming.	For cybersecurity experts designing our protection systems, when we hear of an out of control automobile running over a few pedestrians - what are our initial thoughts? Terrorist?
Take this example and look at possible	
answers.	(Let's forget about autonomous driving cars for the moment – however, this is a label as
 a) A who hacks into a political opponent's website to get private emails to embarrass them 	well)
	When we hear of a breach of a major retailer,
Answers	do we think cybercriminal? Do we have a
Cyberterrorist	mental image of what this cybercriminal may
Human Rights Worker	look like?
What about this example	Unfortunately, students learning to drive have run over curbs, and yes, even little old
 a) Awho hacks into a food processing plant to get information on the on-farm animals 	grandmas have hacked into computer systems.
	An issue then often arises, have we made a
Answers	judgment so bad that we eventually pull back
Cybercriminal	the cybersecurity protections systems we've
PETA	installed.
	People cannot work, too many mistakes
As soon as you hear the term cyberterrorist	made, too many complaints, etc., Or have we
or cybercriminal, what were your thoughts?	made a judgment so lax; violations are bound
	to occur, and when they do occur, no one
Compare cyberterrorist to a Human Rights	notices till its too late.
Workers, even though the engaged in the	
same activity.	A cybersecurity expert's behavior should be
	straightforward, be aware that labels exist
The two problems are:	and regardless of such, accomplish the task at
1) Association	hand.
2) Leading	
	Later it will be explored how our neural
Leading, what you are looking for, or already	network associations make this an extremely
know what the outcome will be, and	difficult job – even when we realize this
	happens.

association, we associate certain terms for	
people with certain activities.	